## 5<sup>th</sup> Symposium for Research in Protected Areas 10 to 12 June 2013, Mittersill

pages 669 - 670

# A participatory method for agrobiodiversity conservation

# Beni Rohrbach & Patrick Laube

Institute of Geography, University of Zurich, Switzerland

### Abstract

Which sites would be best to revive cultivating crops and vegetables? In the Swiss mountains, the area used for arable farming decreased by over 50% from 1990 to 2010. Our research investigates methods that allow the integration of different knowledge forms and viewpoints with spatial reference. Arable farming contributes to culture, local knowledge, and landscape aesthetics. At the same time it is dependent on those elements. Therefore, biosphere reserves are candidates for reviving arable farming.

This paper presents an approach based on participatory mapping used for finding areas suitable for farming specific crops. Airborne photos serve as a basis with a direct reference to the physical world. Various stakeholders then create a thematic map by drawing suitable areas on top of the photos. While participatory mapping was applied on a broad range of topics, this method often lacks scientifically sound guidelines for best practice. Hence, we research required sample size and the influence of mapping scales and technologies. In addition, we examine precision, accuracy, and validity of the data gathered through participatory mapping. We further look into additional effects such as social learning or emerging conflicts as a consequence of the mapping process. In this project, arable farming in the protected areas serves as a case study. However, the method has much broader application possibilities.

## **Keywords**

Participatory Mapping, PPGIS, Agrobiodiversity, Transdisciplinarity, Ecosystem Services

# **Project description**

## Introduction

In the Swiss mountains, the area used for cultivating crops and vegetables decreased by over 50% from 1990 to 2010 (Swiss Federal Statistical Office 2012). Biosphere reserves are especially suitable for conserving agricultural practices in marginal areas. A big portion of the worlds protected areas are in mountains (Rodríguez-Rodríguez & Bomhard 2011), which are a refugium for crop diversity (Bardsley & Thomas 2004). Arable farming in mountains contributes to biological diversity and the retention of tradition and culture (Bardsley & Thomas 2004; FAO 2010: 4). Conserving crop diversity, a natural resource, through use, fits perfectly to the aims of UNESCO biosphere reserves (Lange 2011). Recently, the new agrarian policy of Switzerland aims at securing and enhancing landscape qualities. Farmers can list arable farming as a landscape quality and thus apply for government subsidies. However, it is complicated to locate where policy measures would most likely take effect. We research methods to find the most promising areas for reviving arable farming in the mountains.

Bringing back arable farming depends on several factors and stakeholders. For example appropriate machines, suitable soils, and a good climate are required. At the same time, the individual motivation, experiences, and knowledge plays an important role (Bardsley & Thomas 2004; FAO 2010). Thus, there is a need for methods able to integrate different knowledge sources and types of knowledge.

### Method

Participatory Mapping (PM) is a promising approach for integrating different knowledge. In our case, participants are asked to draw areas for possibly cultivating rare species on top of an airborne image. The maps then are digitised and merged, resulting in an aggregated map. Thus the setup and the result have a clear spatial reference. PM was already applied on similar issues. For example PM was applied on conservation management (Brown & Weber 2012), landscape values (Sherrouse et al. 2011) and ecosystem service assessment (Brown et al. 2012). Research has shown various influences on the results of the PM process, such as the familiarity of the participants with the area (Brown 2012; Brown et al. 2012), the use of points or polygons as input geometry (Brown & Pullar 2012), and effects of paper- vs. web-based solutions (Brown et al. 2012; Pocewicz et al. 2012). Despite the promising aptness of PM for complex socio-ecological tasks, we identified a lack of scientific sound guidelines for best practice.

# Conclusion

Studying the case of arable farming in the Swiss mountains, we research PM. Hence; PM is compared to other approaches that identify the potential areas for identifying areas for arable farming. However, if the aim is a

participatory process PM is a valuable tool for assessing complex socio-ecological phenomena. PM can be carried out without much computer technology, by using paper and pencil. On the other hand, bigger samples can be reached and processed if using web-based tools. For different aims different setups and scales yield best results. In any case, PM is able to show perceptions of the participants regarding a complex subject in a defined area.

### References

Bardsley, D. & I. Thomas 2004. In Situ Agrobiodiversity Conservation in the Swiss Inner Alpine Zone. In: GeoJournal, vol. 60:2, 99–109.

Brown, G. 2012. An empirical evaluation of the spatial accuracy of public participation GIS (PPGIS) data. In: Applied Geography, vol. 34, 289–294.

Brown, G., Montag, J. M. & K. Lyon 2012. Public Participation GIS: A Method for Identifying Ecosystem Services. In: Society & Natural Resources, vol. 25:7, 633–651.

Brown, G. & D. Pullar 2012. An evaluation of the use of points versus polygons in public participation geographic information systems using quasi-experimental design and Monte Carlo simulation. In: International Journal of Geographical Information Science, vol. 26:2, 231–246.

Brown, G. & D. Weber 2012. A place-based approach to conservation management using public participation GIS (PPGIS). In: Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, vol. 1:19, 37–41.

FAO 2010. The Second Report on the State of the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. Rome, Italy. Available at: <a href="http://www.fao.org/docrep/013/i1500e/i1500e.pdf">http://www.fao.org/docrep/013/i1500e/i1500e.pdf</a> (accessed: 09/17/2012).

Lange, S. 2011. The Development of UNESCO's MAB Programme, with a Special Focus on Mountain Aspects. In: Biosphere Reserves in the Mountains of the World Excellence in the Clouds?, Austrian MAB Committee (Ed.). Austrian Sciences Academy Available at:

http://www.unesco.org/new/fileadmin/MULTIMEDIA/HQ/SC/pdf/OAW BR Mountains Excellence in the Clouds 2011.pdf (accessed: 10/17/2012).

POCEWICZ, A., NIELSEN-PINCUS, M., BROWN, G. & R. SCHNITZER 2012. An Evaluation of Internet Versus Paper-based Methods for Public Participation Geographic Information Systems (PPGIS). In: Transactions in GIS, vol. 16:1, 39–53.

RODRÍGUEZ-RODRÍGUEZ, D. & B. BOMHARD 2011. Towards Effective Conservation in Mountains: Protected Areas and Biosphere Reserves. In: Biosphere Reserves in the Mountains of the World Excellence in the Clouds?, Austrian MAB Committee (Ed.). Austrian Sciences Academy Available at:

http://www.unesco.org/new/fileadmin/MULTIMEDIA/HQ/SC/pdf/OAW BR Mountains Excellence in the Clouds 2011.pdf (accessed: 10/17/2012).

SHERROUSE, B. C., CLEMENT, J. M. & D. J. SEMMENS 2011. A GIS application for assessing, mapping, and quantifying the social values of ecosystem services. In: Applied Geography, vol. 31:2, 748–760.

Swiss Federal Statistical Office 2012. Landwirtschaftliche Betriebsstrukturerhebung. Available at: http://www.bfs.admin.ch/bfs/portal/de/index/infothek/onlinedb/stattab.html (accessed: 10/07/2012).

## **Contact**

Beni Rohrbach benjamin.rohrbach@geo.uzh.ch Department of Geography, GIScience Winterthurerst. 190 8055 Zürich Switzerland